

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/980,393		SCHMIDT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Octavia Davis		2855	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Holly Sy (Att).

(3) Octavia Davis (Ex).

(2) Eric McCall (Pri).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 18 November 2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Applicant demonstrated the difference between the  
two measurement chambers.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 9.

Identification of prior art discussed: P/A OF RECORD

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \_\_\_\_\_.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Applicant Discussed POSSIBLE AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS.  
TO OVERCOME THE PRIOR ART. EXAMINER WILL REVIEW  
UPON APPLICANT'S RESPONSE.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required